

GP | GLOBAL PERSPECTIVES

Multidisciplinary Approach to the Contemporary Cross-Cultural Challenges

First International Scientific Conference | 4 December 2026 | Belgrade, Serbia | Hybrid

ABSTRACT SUBMISSION TEMPLATE

[Concise, informative paper title in sentence case and it should not be longer than two rows]

[First Author]^{1,*} | [Second Author]² | [Third Author]³

¹ [Institution, City, Country]

² [Institution, City, Country]

³ [Institution, City, Country]

*** Corresponding author:** email@institution.edu | ORCID: [0000-0000-0000-0000]

Programme session: [Choose one of the six sessions listed at www.gpconf.net/themes]

AUTHOR INSTRUCTION - remove this section before submission.

A maximum of three authors should write an abstract from 300 to 500 words across the ten response passages, in British English. Keep the labels unchanged and follow design-appropriate reporting guidance. You may include tables, figures, equations, and citations, and list all references (up to 20 in the reference list at the end of the abstract).

After filling in authors' affiliations and addressing all response passages, remove all brackets in the template – “[” and “]”.

All authors are responsible for the manuscripts they propose, including potential issues related to ethics, other approvals, and AI use.

Send all abstracts to gpconf@mef.edu.rs. Submission of the manuscript is considered confirmation by all stated authors that there are no issues in any regard.

1. Context/Background

[Target: Approximately 45 words. Establish the scientific, geographical, institutional, or cross-cultural setting and explain why it matters now. Introduce only the concepts required to understand the study; avoid generic opening claims, extensive history, and assertions that are not supported by evidence.]

2. Problem

[Target: Approximately 35 words. State the precise unresolved research or practical problem that needs to be addressed. Identify who or what is affected, under which conditions, and why systematic investigation is necessary. Keep the problem distinct from both the literature gap and the study objective.]

3. Literature Gap/Knowledge Gap

[Target: Approximately 50 words. Synthesise what established scholarship already explains and isolate what remains unknown, inconsistent, or insufficiently tested. Specify the missing relationship, mechanism, context, comparison, evidence base, or methodological capability. Demonstrate how the gap follows from the literature rather than merely claiming that few studies exist.]

4. Motive/Purpose/Aim/Goal/Objective/Research Questions

[Target: Approximately 30 words. State one precise purpose, objective, hypothesis, or research question, and align it directly with the identified problem and gap]

5. Novelty/Originality

[Target: Approximately 35 words. Explain exactly what is new: a theoretical integration, dataset, context, measure, design, analytical strategy, comparison, or practical solution. Distinguish substantive originality from routine replication and avoid unsupported claims that the study is the first of its kind.]

6. Research Design/Method/Analytical Approach

[Target: Approximately 80 words. Describe the design and analytical approach precisely enough for assessment: study type, timeframe if applicable, setting, instruments or sources, operationalisation, procedure, and principal techniques, depending on the study. Abstracts should report the quality, validity, uncertainty, or rigour procedures appropriate to the design]

7. Data/Sample

[Target: Approximately 45 words. Define the analytical material and unit of analysis. Where applicable, report sample size, sampling or case-selection logic, participant or unit characteristics, geographical and temporal coverage, sources, eligibility criteria, and response or retention. Non-empirical studies should identify the literature, documents, artefacts, cases, or theoretical corpus examined.]

8. Key Findings/Results

[Target: Approximately 100 words. Report the principal findings directly, using numerical estimates where appropriate. Give the direction, magnitude, uncertainty, or strength of the central relationships, differences, themes, mechanisms, or model-performance indicators. Prioritise results that answer the stated objective or research question and, where applicable, distinguish confirmatory from exploratory findings. Do not substitute claims of significance, interest, or discussion for evidence. Qualitative studies should name the central themes and their evidential pattern; reviews should report synthesis results; conceptual studies should state the resulting propositions, framework components, or explanatory logic. Do not promise that results will be presented later.]

9. Conclusion with Central Answer

[Target: Approximately 35 words. Give the central answer supported by the findings. State what can reasonably be concluded, under which conditions, and at what level of generalisability or transferability. Connect the answer explicitly to the purpose or research question.]

10. Implications/Contribution

[Target: Approximately 45 words. Explain the theoretical, methodological, practical, policy, educational, or societal contribution. Identify who can use the evidence and which decisions, models, interventions, or future research it can inform. Note a material boundary condition where essential, without adding generic recommendations unsupported by the results.]

Keywords: [four to six specific keywords, separated by semicolons]

References: [up to 20 references, alphabetically sorted in APA 7 referencing style]